



PATENT  
Att'y Dkt.: 2685/112884

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

HASKELL et al

Serial No.: 09/072,784

Filed: May 6, 1998

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS TO  
PRIORITIZE VIDEO INFORMATION  
DURING CODING AND DECODING

Examiner: W. Chen

Art Unit: 2724

#30  
KD  
4-3-03

RESPONSE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

APR 02 2003

Technology Center 2600

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated November 25, 2002 and the Advisory Action dated March 13, 2003, Applicants file this RCE requesting that the Examiner kindly enter and consider the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 29, 30, 34, 35 and 39-44 are under consideration and rejected by the Examiner. The Examiner in the Advisory Action urged Applicant to respond with remarks to the Examiner's contentions. Applicants have reviewed the present Advisory Action dated March 13, 2003 as well as the Final Office Action dated November 25, 2002 and provide the following additional reasons from those previously set forth that the claims are allowable over the prior art of record.

INTERPRETATION OF NOVEMBER 25, 2002 OFFICE ACTION

In the November 25, 2002 Office Action, paragraphs 2 and 4, the Examiner discusses claims 39 - 40. Applicants note that the limitations discussed by the Examiner in paragraph 4 of the Office Action are not those limitations set forth in claims 39 - 40. It is apparent to the Applicants that the Examiner meant to discuss claims 29 - 30 in paragraphs 2 and 4 of the Office Action, since it is the